

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/803,247	03/18/2004	Arthur L. Backman	200TR388	5972
37535	7590 04/19/2005	,	EXAM	INER
NOVEON IP HOLDINGS CORP.			RAYFORD, SANDRA M	
	SVILLE ROAD), OH 44141-3247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1772	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{V}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/803,247	BACKMAN ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sandra M. Nolan-Rayford	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		·
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unit	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,7,11 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and allowed.	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance orrection is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in App priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No(s)/N	mary (PTO-413) lail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	B/08) 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/803,247

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

1. Pursuant to the entry of any amendments in the 28 January 2005 response ("the last response"), claims 1-12 are pending.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 4, 7, 11, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. See sections 4-5 of the 26 July 2004 office action ("the last office action").

Rejection Maintained

3. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 1-3, 5-6 and 8-10 as unpatentable over JP 07179717A (abstract) in view of Jackson et al (US 6,730,401), as set forth in section 8 of the last office action, is maintained for reasons of record.

Summary of Base Claims

- 4. <u>Claim 1</u> covers a multilayer pipe comprising:
 - i- an outer layer of segmented thermoplastic copolyester elastomer, and
 - ii- an annular core selected from the group consisting of:

chlorinated polyvinyl chloride (CPVC)

blends of CPVC with <25% polyvinyl chloride (PVC),

wherein:

- -the core is coextensive with essentially the entire length of the body,
- -the outer layer is cohesively bonded to the core in a laminate, and
- -the copolyester is derived from one or more dicarboxylic acids, one or more glycols and one or more low MW diols.

Art Unit: 1772

5. <u>Claim 8</u> covers a method of making an elongated hollow body comprising the step of:

concurrently extruding an annular core of PVDC/PVC of uniform thickness, which thickness is from 0.95mm to 1.375 mm and an outer tubular layer of segmented thermoplastic copolyester elastomer with a Shore D harness of 40 to 72 overlaying the core in substantially uniform thickness, with the body being bonded to the core cohesively and the wall thickness of the copolyester layer permitting the body to satisfy plumbing codes for PPVC.

6. It is noteworthy that neither claim 1 nor claim 8 requires that the CPVC/PVC layer or the copolyester layer contain no other polymers.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed in the last response have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Those arguments will be responded to in the order in which they were presented.

On page 6 of the last response, applicants argue that the Japanese abstract is not a proper teaching against the claims because its PVDC layer contains other polymers.

However, as was pointed out in the summary of base claims section, above, applicants' claims do not exclude mixed polymers from the claimed core layers.

On page 7 of the response, applicants argue that the Jackson patent is concerned with a sheet and, therefore, cannot be a proper basis for rejecting claims covering pipes and their production.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,247

Art Unit: 1772

However, Jackson teaches, that its copolyester and CPVC materials may be coextruded and that the composites made therefrom have dimensional stability and thermoformability. See the fourth paragraph in section 8 of the last office action.

It is deemed a matter of engineering choice/properties optimization to employ the composites taught by Jackson when making the pipes of the Japanese abstract, since the Jackson patent teaches that its polyester/CPVC composites are dimensionally stable and thermoformable. The skilled artisan, at the time of the invention, would have presumed dimensional stability and thermoformability to be desirable in pipes.

Citation as of Interest

8. Auvil et al (US 6,000,436) is cited for its teaching that CPVC can be used to make tubular coverings for metal pipes. It is noted that the CPVC layers in Auvil's pipes are covered with layers containing other polymers.

Final Rejection

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

If a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action.

Art Unit: 1772

In no event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be addressed to Sandra M. Nolan-Rayford, at telephone number 571/272-1495. She can be reached Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, contact her supervisor, Harold Pyon, at 571/272-1498.

The fax number for patent application documents is 703/872-9306.

S. M. Nolon-Royford S. M. Nolan-Rayford

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

10803247(20050418)